VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF MINES, MINERALS AND ENERGY VIRGINIA GAS AND OIL BOARD HEARING

Tuesday, November 15, 2016

Lebanon, Virginia

BOARD MEMBERS:

Bradley C. Lambert – Chairman

Bill Harris – Public Representative

Bruce Prather – Oil and Gas Industry Representative

Donnie Ratliff – Coal Industry Representative

Mary Quillen – Public Member

Rita Surratt – Public Member

APPEARANCES:

Rita Surratt – Public Member for Dickenson County

Mary Quillen—Chairman of the Virginia Gas & Oil Board

Rick Cooper—Director of the Division of Gas & Oil and Principal Executive to the Staff of the Board

Paul Kugelman, Jr.—Senior Assistant Attorney General

Butch Lambert–Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy

Donnie Rife – Public Representative

Donnie Ratliff – Coal Representative

Bruce Prather–Representative of Oil and Gas Industry

Sarah Gilmer—Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Sally Ketron—Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Blair Linford – Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Prepared by: Joanna Boyd

Agenda Items

<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Docket Number</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Public Comment		1
2	VGOB 16-1115-4102	(Approved)	2
3	VGOB 16-1115-4103	(Approved	6
4	VGOB 94-0719-0459-01	(Approved)	10
5	VGOB 16-1115-4104	(Affirmed)	27
6	VGOB 00-1017-0835-12	(Approved)	21
7	VGOB 16-1115-4099	(Approved)	35
8	VGOB 16-1115-4100	(Approved)	39
9	VGOB 16-1115-4101	(Approved)	43
10	VGOB 97-0218-0564-05	(Approved)	49
11	VGOB 98-0324-0636-03	(Approved)	56
12	VGOB 02-0521-1028-02	(Approved)	61
13	Update from CNX Gas Company, LLC		
	16-0621-4093	(Approved)	64
14	Board & Division Activities from the Staff		67
15	October 2016 Minutes	(Approved)	69

- 2 **Butch Lambert:** Good morning ladies and gentlemen. It's now after 9 o'clock and to begin our
- 3 proceedings this morning. First of all, before we begin, I'll ask if you have electronic
- 4 communication devices, to please turn those off or put them on vibrate. We would appreciate
- 5 that, and we will begin this morning by asking the Board to introduce themselves and I'll begin
- 6 with Mr. Kugelman.
- 7 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Paul Kugelman with the Virginia Attorney General's Office.
- 8 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** And I'm Butch Lambert with the Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy.
- 9 **Donnie Ratliff:** Donnie Ratliff, representing coal.
- 10 **Bruce Prather:** I'm Bruce Prather. I represent the Oil & Gas Industry.
- 11 Mary Quillen: Mary Quillen, Public Member.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you.

13 Item Number 1

- 14 **Butch Lambert:** This morning, on our agenda, we will begin by receiving comments from the
- public. If Brenda Justice would please come up.
- 16 **Brenda Justice:** Looks like I'm back again.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** That's alright, Ms. Justice. Could you please state your name for the record?
- 18 **Brenda Justice:** I'm Brenda Justice at 1101 Longwall Lane, Raven, Va.
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** Good morning.
- 20 **Brenda Justice:** He's supposed to let me talk to Anita Duty this morning, because it's been five
- 21 months since she's been told to pay me and I haven't received anything as of yet.
- 22 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** Mr. Cooper, could you give us an update?
- 23 **Rick Cooper:** Yes. Anita is going to respond to her today. There's a couple of things going on
- here, so I think Ms. Justice thinks CNX says she signed the lease and Ms. Justice says that she
- didn't sign the lease. So, that's an issue they need to work out.
- **Butch Lambert:** What does that got to do with...?
- 27 **Rick Cooper:** If she did sign the lease, she would have been entitled to some money, if she
- signed a lease.

- 1 **Butch Lambert:** Has she been before us for disbursement?
- 2 **Brenda Justice:** This makes the third time this month or in the last three months.
- 3 Rick Cooper: I'm not sure. Anita has an explanation for that but I apologize, I do not know the
- 4 explanation for that.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** Ms. Justice, if you could give us a few minutes, until Ms. Duty comes.
- 6 **Brenda Justice:** Okay.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** Then we'll ask her to appear before the Board and give us an explanation of
- 8 why you haven't been paid.
- 9 **Brenda Justice:** Thank you, very much. Thank you.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you.
- 11 <u>Item Number 2</u>
- Butch Lambert: And the next item on our docket is a petition from EnerVest Operating, LLC,
- for pooling of Well No. VCI-537504. Docket Number VGOB 16-1115-4102. All parties wishing
- to testify, please come forward.
- 15 **Tim Scott:** Mr. Chairman, Tim Scott, Gus Janson, and Aaron Anderson for EnerVest Operating,
- 16 LLC.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** Good morning.
- 18 **Tim Scott:** Morning.
- 19 **Sarah Gilmer:** Do you swear and affirm your testimony is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
- 20 but the truth?
- 21 **Tim Scott:** Yes I do.
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- 23 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Anderson, please state your name and by whom
- you're employed, and your job description.
- 25 **Aaron Anderson:** I'm Aaron Anderson. I'm employed with EnerVest Operating, LLC as an
- 26 Associate Landman.
- 27 **Tim Scott:** You're familiar with this application, are you not?
- 28 **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.

- **Tim Scott:** And, is this unit located Nora Coalbed Gas Field?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- 3 <u>Tim Scott:</u> How many acres does it contain?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 58.77.
- **Tim Scott:** And, EnerVest is both a lessee and an owner in this unit, is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Do we have any parties respondent that we're going to dismiss from Exhibit B3
- 8 today?
- **Aaron Anderson:** No sir.
- **Tim Scott:** How was notice of this hearing provided to those parties listed on Exhibit B3?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** Certified Mail with a return receipt requested.
- **Tim Scott:** And have we provided a proof of our publication to the Board?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** Do we have any unknown owners in this unit?
- **Aaron Anderson:** No.
- **Tim Scott:** So we don't have an escrow. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, EnerVest is authorized to conduct business in the Commonwealth. Is that
- 19 correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And there is a bond on file with the Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy. Is
- 22 that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, if you were able to reach an agreement with the parties listed on Exhibit B3,
- 25 what lease terms would you offer?
- **Aaron Anderson:** \$25 an acre for a five-year, paid-up lease.
- 27 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay. Do you consider that to be reasonable compensation for a lease in this area?

- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- 2 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And, what percentage of the gas estate does EnerVest presently have under lease?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** 92.6125.
- **Tim Scott:** And again, that does include the simple interest, is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And you also have the coal estate under lease. Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 100%. Yes, sir.
- **<u>Tim Scott:</u>** And what percentage of the gas estate are you seeking to pool today?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 7.3875.
- **Tim Scott:** And we don't have an escrow requirement. Is that correct?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And you're requesting the Board to pool the unleased parties listed on Exhibit B3?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And that EnerVest be named the operator of this unit. Is that also correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, if the Board grants our application today and elections are made pursuant to the
- order, what would be the address to be used for any elections made?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That would be EnerVest Operating, LLC. 406 West Main Street. PO Box
- 19 2136, Abingdon, VA 24212. Attention Chuck Akers, Land Manager.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay, and should this be the communication for all notices, with regard to this
- 21 particular unit?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes sir.
- 23 <u>Tim Scott:</u> That's all I have for Mr. Anderson.
- **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] You may continue, Mr. Scott.
- **Tim Scott:** Thank you. Mr. Janson, your name, by whom you're employed, and your job
- description, please.

- **Gus Janson:** My name is Gus Janson. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC as a Geology
- 2 Advisor.
- **Tim Scott:** And you also participated in the preparation of this application, is that right?
- **Gus Janson:** I did.
- 5 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Are you familiar with the proposed depth of this well?
- **Gus Janson:** Yes, the well depth is 2,446 feet.
- **Tim Scott:** What about the reserves for this unit?
- **Gus Janson:** Yes. The estimated reserves for this unit are 520 million cubic feet of gas.
- **Tim Scott:** Did you also participate in the preparation of the AFE that was submitted with our
- 10 application?
- **Gus Janson:** Yes, I did.
- **Tim Scott:** Are you familiar with the cost of this well?
- **Gus Janson:** Yes, I am.
- **Tim Scott:** What's the estimated dry hold cost?
- **Gus Janson:** That estimated dry hold cost is \$197,100.00.
- **Tim Scott:** And the completed well cost?
- **Gus Janson:** \$395,600.00.
- **Tim Scott:** In your opinion, if our application is granted, would it promote conservation, prevent
- waste, and promote correlative rights?
- **Gus Janson:** Yes it would.
- 21 <u>Tim Scott:</u> That's all I have for Mr. Janson.
- **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Scott?
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 25 <u>Mary Quillen:</u> Motion to approve.
- **Bruce Prather:** Second.

- 1 Butch Lambert: I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? All in favor,
- 2 signify by saying yes.
- 3 **Board:** Yes.
- 4 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response]
- 5 **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll abstain.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** One abstention, Mr. Ratliff. Thank you, Mr. Scott. That is approved.
- 7 **Tim Scott:** Thank you.

8 <u>Item Number 3</u>

- 9 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from EnerVest Operating, LLC, for pooling of Well No. VCI-
- 530435. Docket Number VGOB 16-1115-4103. All parties wishing to testify, please come
- 11 forward.
- 12 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Tim Scott, Gus Janson, and Aaron Anderson for EnerVest Operating, LLC.
- 13 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- 14 **Tim Scott:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Anderson, again, what's your name and what's your
- job description?
- 16 Aaron Anderson: My name's Aaron Anderson. Employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC, as an
- 17 Associate Landman.
- 18 **Tim Scott:** And you participated in the preparation of this application. Is that correct?
- 19 **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- 20 **Tim Scott:** Is this unit located in the Nora Coalbed Gas Field?
- 21 **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- 22 **Tim Scott:** And how many acres does it contain?
- 23 **Aaron Anderson:** 58.77.
- 24 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And with regard to ownership of this unit, EnerVest is both the lessee and an owner.
- 25 Is that correct?
- 26 **Aaron Anderson:** That is correct.
- 27 **Tim Scott:** Are we going to dismiss any parties' respondent listed on Exhibit B3?

- **Aaron Anderson:** Not at this time.
- 2 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Are we going to dismiss any parties' respondent today?
- 3 <u>Tim Scott:</u> How was notice of this hearing provided?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** By publication.
- 5 <u>Tim Scott:</u> So we have unknowns. Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And those are the only parties' respondent in this particular application. Is that
- 8 correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And where was the notice of this hearing published?
- **Aaron Anderson:** The Dickenson Star, on October 26th.
- **Tim Scott:** And you provided, to the Board, your efforts to locate these unknown parties. Is that
- 13 correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And again, EnerVest is authorized to conduct business within the Commonwealth, is
- that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** And there's a bond on file?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** If you're able to find these individuals and you've offered them lease terms, what
- 21 would those be?
- **Aaron Anderson:** \$25.00 an acre for a five-year, paid-up lease.
- **Tim Scott:** Again, does this represent a reasonable compensation for a lease in this area?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes sir.
- 25 <u>Tim Scott:</u> What percentage of the gas estate does EnerVest have under lease?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 96.87%

- 1 Tim Scott: And again, this does include ownership and fee of the minerals by EnerVest. Is that
- 2 correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And you have 100% of the coal estate under the lease?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And what percentage of the gas estate are you seeking to pool today?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 3.13%
- **Tim Scott:** And we do have an escrow requirement. Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Because of unknowns?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** And what tract is that?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That would be Tract 4.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay, so you're asking the Board to pool the unleased parties listed on Exhibit B3?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes sir.
- **Tim Scott:** And that EnerVest be named the operator of this unit?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** With regard to any elections made, if the Board approves our application today,
- 19 where should those communications, to whose attention and to where should those
- 20 communications be sent?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's going to be EnerVest Operating, LLC, 406 W. Main St., Abingdon,
- 22 VA 24212. That's attention Chuck Akers, Land Manager.
- 23 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And again, that should be the address for all communications for this unit or the
- order in this matter. Is that correct?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Anderson.
- **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] You may continue, Mr. Scott?

- **Tim Scott:** Thank you. Mr. Janson, your name, by whom you are employed, and your job
- 2 description, please.
- 3 Gus Janson: My name is Gus Janson. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC, as the
- 4 Geology Advisor.
- **Tim Scott:** And you participated in this application, is that right?
- **Gus Janson:** That is correct.
- 7 <u>Tim Scott:</u> So you're familiar with the proposed well depth?
- **Gus Janson:** Yes. The proposed well depth is 3,168 feet.
- **Tim Scott:** Are you also familiar with the estimated reserves for this unit?
- **Gus Janson:** Yes. The estimated reserves are 685 million cubic feet of gas.
- 11 <u>Tim Scott:</u> You participated in preparation of the AFE's. Is that correct?
- **Gus Janson:** I did.
- **Tim Scott:** So you're familiar with the cost of this well?
- **Gus Janson:** Right.
- **Tim Scott:** What's the estimated dry hole cost?
- **Gus Janson:** The dry hold cost is \$169,700.00.
- **Tim Scott:** And the completed well cost?
- **Gus Janson:** \$357,900.00.
- **Tim Scott:** So, in your opinion, if this application is granted, it would prevent waste, promote
- 20 conservation and protect correlative rights. Is that also correct?
- **Gus Janson:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Janson.
- **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Janson, on your well and mine location map, I notice this well is in
- between some workings? Are those active or not? The Lower Banner Coal Seam.
- **Gus Janson:** I believe those are all abandoned mines works at this point in time.
- **Butch Lambert:** Okay. Thank you. Any other questions from the Board? [No response]
- 27 Anything further, Mr. Scott?

- 1 <u>Tim Scott:</u> That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 3 Mary Quillen: Motion to approve.
- **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- 6 in favor, signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Butch Lambert:** Opposed no?
- **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll abstain, Mr. Chair.
- **Butch Lambert:** One abstention, Mr. Ratliff. Thank you, Mr. Scott. That is approved.
- **Tim Scott:** Thank you.
- 12 <u>Item Number 4</u>
- **Butch Lambert:** A petition from EnerVest Operating, LLC, to disburse funds from the escrow
- account for well V-702824 to the parties listed in the petition and owners in Tracts 33 and 34.
- Docket Number VGOB 94-0719-0459-01. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- **Tim Scott:** Tim Scott and Phil Horn for EnerVest Operating.
- **Sarah Gilmer:** Mr. Horn, do you swear and affirm your testimony is the truth, the whole truth,
- and nothing but the truth?
- **Phil Horn:** I do.
- **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed.
- **Tim Scott:** Mr. Horn, please state your name, by whom you're employed and your job
- 22 description.
- **Phil Horn:** My name is Phil Horn. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating Company as a
- 24 contractor. Land contractor.
- **Tim Scott:** So, with regard to this particular disbursement, you have notified the parties that are
- 26 going to be disbursed. Is that correct?
- **Phil Horn:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And you have reviewed escrow account with the escrow agent. Is that correct?

- **Phil Horn:** That's correct.
- 2 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And how does that stack up with what you're going to disburse today?
- **Phil Horn:** The bank says there's \$31.98 more than what has been deposited in the account.
- 4 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay, what is the effective date of that reconciliation?
- **Phil Horn:** August 1, 2016...August 2016.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay, from this point on, would there be a direct pay on this?
- **Phil Horn:** Yes, there would.
- 8 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay, does this close out the account for this particular unit?
- **Phil Horn:** No, it does not.
- 10 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay, so what tract does this effect?
- **Phil Horn:** It's Tracts 33 and 34.
- **Tim Scott:** So the remainder of those escrow accounts remain open. Is that correct?
- **Phil Horn:** That's correct. There's several people left on Exhibit E.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay. Very good. That's all I have for Mr. Horn.
- **Butch Lambert:** Questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Scott?
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Mary Quillen:** Motion to approve.
- **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- **Butch Lambert:** Any further discussion? I have a motion and I have a second. [No response] All
- 21 in favor, signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Butch Lambert:** Opposed no? [No response]
- **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Scott. That is approved.
- **Tim Scott:** Thank you.

- Butch Lambert: Mr. Cooper, I see that our parties for Item 5 are not here, I think that Mr.
- 2 Swartz has agreed to...
- 3 **Rick Cooper:** Mr. Chairman, Linda Patton and Bill Patton has requested that they be heard at 10
- 4 o'clock and Mr. Swartz agreed to that, if they're here by 10:00 a.m. to move that up to 10
- 5 o'clock.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Swartz, if you're okay with that, we'll move that one...
- 7 Mark Swartz: I'm okay with that. [Inaudible] for that hearing but they'll be here at 10:00 a.m.,
- 8 as well, and Anita should be here, like, in five minutes, because we were expecting the other
- 9 hearing to occur [Inaudible].
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Okay then we'll take just a five minute break until Ms. Duty gets here.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And then we'll break from whatever...when we finish one, we can [Inaudible].
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** We're going to recess for about five minutes.

13 Item Number 1

- 14 **Butch Lambert:** Okay folks, it's time to go ahead and resume. I see all of our parties are here,
- so, Ms. Justice, if you could come back up, please. Sarah, do you want to go ahead and swear
- 16 Ms. Duty in, please?
- 17 Sarah Gilmer: Ms. Duty, do you swear and affirm your testimony is the truth, the whole truth,
- and nothing but the truth?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, we have Ms. Justice is here again this month and she still hasn't been
- 21 paid. We understand that you are going to give her an explanation.
- 22 Anita Duty: There are currently pending orders with the Board, either to be paid or checks to be
- written, or orders to be entered, or reported.
- Anita Duty: No, because just recently they had a lawsuit pending was the reason they weren't
- 25 included and they were disputing the fact that they had signed the royalty agreement, and I guess
- 26 it's been two months now since the court entered the orders so that the royalty split, well, I guess
- 27 they didn't enter an order, but found that there was no, that the royalty splits were good. That
- 28 they weren't forged or anything like that, so that's been two months ago. And so, I mean, that's
- 29 the reason she wasn't included in the original order. And so for the past two months, we just
- 30 been waiting for orders to be entered or previous filing or checks to be written. Nothing pending.
- To me, that was the status.
- 32 Mary Quillen: So what does that mean?

- 1 **Butch Lambert:** I'm sorry Ms. Quillen. Go ahead.
- 2 Mary Quillen: No, no, no.
- 3 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** No, you go ahead.
- 4 Mary Quillen: I must mean that if there's nothing pending, then what's the status of it right
- 5 now?
- 6 Anita Duty: I thought the orders were still pending. I mean, that is what my issue was. I don't
- 7 know if we have W-9's and affidavits to be turned in. Have you turned the W-9 and affidavits
- 8 in?
- 9 **Brenda Justice:** I had the lawyer turn those in from Roanoke.
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Which lawyer?
- 11 **Brenda Justice:** Cheryl, what's that lawyer's name?
- 12 Anita Duty: But you weren't part of the order. You weren't part of the order along with
- everybody else, correct? You weren't part of that order because you had your own separate case
- where you filed against your royalty split agreement. So you weren't part of that and I don't...
- 15 **Brenda Justice:** All we signed was 15 acres on the Contrary Creek and you all went and took all
- of the 47 acres or so on 15 acre contract. We signed [Inaudible]. We haven't received anything
- 17 from that.
- **Anita Duty:** You signed a generic royalty split agreement and originally you said the royalty
- split agreement wasn't valid. Just over the past two months, you've agreed that, okay, now it is
- 20 valid.
- 21 **Brenda Justice:** No, I'm not agreeing that it's valid.
- 22 Anita Duty: But now you want to get paid.
- 23 **Brenda Justice:** Yeah, I want my money like the rest of them.
- 24 Mark Swartz: The answer to that is, if she disputes the royalty split agreement, she's not going
- 25 to be paid because we have her title now. Anita was assuming that she had changed course and
- was now not contesting the validity of the [Inaudible] that were true we could process this
- 27 payment but we've got somebody else on the other side of this royalty split agreement who
- wants to be paid according to the terms of the agreement and she's saying it's not valid. They
- 29 have...there's a litigation issue between them. So that is the, if that's her story, that's the answer.

- 1 Brenda Justice: Sir, my sister, she disagrees, she got paid. My other siblings disagree and they
- 2 got paid, and Levin White said there's nothing we can do so at least we have to accept it and I
- 3 don't understand that.
- 4 Anita Duty: There were two people, yourself and Ronnie Osborne, that actually filed something
- 5 with the state that you're invalid.
- 6 **Brenda Justice**: We tried to get that fixed.
- 7 Anita Duty: That's the difference between you and everybody else. You two are the only ones in
- 8 the family that did that; actually filed something with the state. That's the difference. That's the
- 9 reason you all are still in escrow. Pending litigation or anything like that, you know, keeps up
- 10 from filing.
- 11 **Brenda Justice**: I mean, she's got it on file in Grundy and if there's nothing that we can do about
- it, then why can she go ahead and pay us?
- 13 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: What she's saying ma'am, if I understand this right and Mark can correct
- me if I've missed something here, because I'm not intimately familiar with it; but what it sound
- to me like is, CNX is telling you that, at least is representing that there is some split agreement,
- and agreement to how much you should be paid from royalty proceeds of the well, and they're
- willing to pay you under that but you're saying the split agreement's not right.
- 18 **Brenda Justice**: It ain't never been right.
- 19 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay, so in response to that is, what they're saying is, we don't want to
- 20 pay you or we can pay you because you disputing the validity of the agreement for the payment.
- 21 If the agreement for the payment is invalid, they have to figure out, and they don't know the
- answer to this question and that's why they can't pay you, they have to figure out how much
- you're supposed to be paid. Now, [Inaudible]...Mr. Chairman?
- 24 **Butch Lambert**: No. You.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: Okay. Please come up and say on it. Are you all on the same lease?
- 26 **Ronnie Osborne**: Brothers and sisters.
- 27 <u>Paul Kugelman, Jr</u>.: Well, I don't think I'm taking any testimony from anybody. I'm just
- explaining something. Anyway, so, with this disagreement about how you're supposed to be
- 29 paid, they're saying, well, the two options are, or actually, the three options are, pay in
- 30 accordance with the agreement. Which means you would have to accept the validity of the
- agreement. I'm not saying that's what you have to do, that's just an option; or not get paid; or
- 32 litigate, bring a lawsuit, get the issue resolved and then whatever the court decides, that's what
- would happen. Do I have that right, Mr. Swartz?

- 1 Mark Swartz: Right.
- 2 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: So, really where you are right now, practically, is, I just want to make sure
- 3 I have the facts right. I'm not in collision with Mr. Swartz, I just want to make sure I'm not
- 4 misrepresenting the facts to you all. I can't give you advice, I'm just trying to explain. So, I
- 5 mean, I would suggest you all get the leases, talk to a lawyer who know gas and oil law, not just
- 6 anybody, see if you can find somebody to sit down with you, explain to you more carefully what
- 7 your options are after reviewing the facts and then make a decision from there. If you all agree
- 8 that, after talking to the lawyer that, whether you all agree with it or not, if you decide that
- 9 accepting the lease is the best option that you have, you need to let Ms. Duty know and I suspect
- that once that's resolved in writing, that they tell you in writing that they're okay with accepting
- payment in accordance with the split agreement on file, that they would cut you all a check. Am I
- 12 right about that?
- 13 Mark Swartz: Well, we would have to come to you all to get it ordered to do that. We would
- 14 need a petition for that.
- Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Okay. So then there has to be...I thought there was a figure...I forgot that
- 16 step.
- 17 Mark Swartz: It's in escrow.
- 18 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Right, so they'd have to come back and get an order from the Board and
- then you all would get your check. Or you can litigate it and once that's over, you all would
- 20 come back with whatever the court said and then the Board would be bound to disburse in
- 21 accordance with the court's order. I wish I could give you a "here's how you get it and you can
- get it tomorrow", but with what I understand [Inaudible] that's the best answer I can give you. I
- 23 know it's not satisfactory.
- **Brenda Justice**: No, because they're taking everything. I mean, it's not only the 15 acres.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: This Board doesn't have the authority to make them pay you, with the way
- 26 things are. If there was a split agreement in place or if there was some sort of force pooling issue
- in place, where we knew...yes sir?
- 28 **Ronnie Osborne**: Indiscernible 23:40
- 29 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Oh, no, he said...he wanted to put you under oath. If you're going to offer
- 30 testimony, you need to put under oath, but if you just want to tell me something just for
- 31 information.
- **Ronnie Osborne**: I've never disagreed with the 15 acres, the royalty split. I've never disagreed
- on that. I've disagreed on the 16th page.
- 34 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: I'm sorry?

- 1 **Ronnie Osborne**: The 16th page. I've disagreed on that.
- 2 <u>Mary Quillen</u>: What are you talking about?
- 3 **Ronnie Osborne**: On the contract.
- 4 **<u>Butch Lambert</u>**: Mr. Osborne, unfortunately, Mr. Kugelman don't know the history.
- 5 **Ronnie Osborne**: The four page split agreement, I've disagreed with since I've been coming out
- 6 here. I've never disagreed with...
- 7 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: Okay, when you say you disagree with the 16th page, I don't understand
- 8 what you mean by that.
- 9 **Anita Duty**: The lease.
- 10 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: Oh, so that's the problem though, if you disagree with and the lease is what
- 11 drives the payment?
- 12 Anita Duty: Well, the royalty split agreement that was signed was a generic royalty split
- agreement that said, "whenever James McGuire, Hurt McGuire owns the coal, they agree to split
- the royalties 50/50".
- 15 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: Right. Okay.
- Anita Duty: They didn't specifically say, we want it to be the 15 acre O.H. Keene Tract, and I
- think that's the other problem they have with us. If I recall correctly, the problem he did have is,
- he said that somebody had forged his signature, on both documents. So, I mean, this is news to
- me that he...
- 20 <u>Paul Kugelman, Jr</u>.: Let's not make this an argument sir. We'll let you talk in a minute.
- 21 **Anita Duty**: So I think the 16th page is the Lease and the royalty split is the other, which they
- wanted it to be tract specific, but it wasn't.
- 23 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay.
- 24 **Anita Duty**: And that's the other issue.
- 25 <u>Paul Kugelman, Jr</u>.: So there's some vagueness to the terms of the land involved. Rick, you
- said you want...
- 27 **Rick Cooper**: Yeah, for the record, we need Mr. Osborne to state his name so that the transcript
- will know who's speaking on this.
- 29 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I appreciate that. I apologize for messing up the record. Could you please
- 30 state you full name for the records, sir?

- 1 **Donnie Osborne**: Donnie Osborne.
- 2 <u>Paul Kugelman, Jr</u>.: And what city and state are you from, please?
- 3 **<u>Donnie Osborne</u>**: Buchanan County, Virginia.
- 4 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: Thank you. Does that clear it up, Rick?
- 5 **Rick Cooper**: Yes.
- 6 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: I'm sorry. I apologize for that and I apologize to those of you who keep the
- 7 record. I was just kind of handling this informally. So anyway, the Board doesn't have the
- 8 authority to make them pay you, under the circumstances that we have here, and if you disagree
- 9 with the way the lease is, you know, for whatever reason, if you have a problem with the lease
- and you're telling them you have a problem with the lease...[interruption]let me finish and I'll
- let you...then they can't pay you. If you think, regardless of whether you have a problem with
- the lease, if you agree with getting payment under the lease as your best option and you're okay
- with that, you need to tell them you accept that. They will petition this Board for a check or you
- can talk to a lawyer and see what your best options are. Thank you for letting me [Inaudible].
- Donnie Osborne: She said that I said that somebody forged. I ain't never said nobody forged.
- 16 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: Okay.
- 17 **Donnie Osborne**: I said my name got somewhere and how did it get there. I asked the Board, I
- asked Leven White, I've asked everybody, how did my name get there? How did my name get
- 19 there?
- 20 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay, and I appreciate what you're saying, and I understand.
- 21 **Donnie Osborne**: I ain't accused nobody of nothing. I just...
- 22 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: And nobody up here is saying you did.
- 23 **Donnie Osborne**: That's what she said.
- 24 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: They're not up here.
- 25 Mark Swartz: No, we're saying that.
- 26 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: Right.
- 27 Mark Swartz: When you say, "I don't know how my name got on that document"...
- 28 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: I appreciate that. I do. I think you understand where I am. Nobody up here
- 29 is accusing anybody of anything, but if you all want to get paid, those are the options that I
- 30 believe you have. But again, I cannot represent you, I cannot provide you with legal advice.

- 1 **Ronnie Osborne**: I understand that.
- 2 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: But I'm just trying to be helpful and let you all know, that the best I
- 3 understand where they are and what your options are.
- 4 **Ronnie Osborne**: I understand that. I've just been asking how it got there.
- 5 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: And that's something you should probably, if you go to a lawyer, you
- 6 should probably get answered.
- 7 **Ronnie Osborne**: I ain't worried about no lawyer.
- 8 **Brenda Justice**: Aint no way we can get no lawyer.
- 9 **Ronnie Osborne**: I ain't worried about no lawyer. I ain't worried about nothing. I won't be back
- 10 no more.
- 11 Mary Quillen: Anita, you mentioned a title conflict.
- 12 Anita Duty: Well, I think Mark had mentioned that because if they don't agree, now originally I
- thought we had pending orders but there is a second issue. The issue that they have with their
- royalty split. They want it to only apply to one tract and that's not how it's written.
- 15 **Bruce Prather**: How much acreage is on the split agreement? Is it 15 or 45?
- 16 **Anita Duty**: I'm sorry?
- 17 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: How may acres is on the split agreement?
- **Anita Duty**: The split agreement says in any case where James McGuire, Hurt McGuire owns
- the coal, and each of those individuals owns the gas, we agree to split it 50/50. It doesn't say on
- 20 the 15 acre O.H. Keen tract, we decided to split.
- 21 **Bruce Prather**: That's where your mistake is.
- 22 **Anita Duty**: That's the problem. It's a generic agreement.
- 23 **Bruce Prather**: It should have been in the split agreement.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And there two different interpretations of that, that's a title conflict. I mean, one
- person says it applies to everything and the other person is saying it doesn't.
- Mary Quillen: The split agreement has been signed by both Hurt McGuire and coal owner, I
- 27 mean the gas owners.
- 28 **Anita Duty**: I think in '98 maybe [Inaudible].
- 29 **Bruce Prather**: That's your problem.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Yes.
- 2 Anita Duty: They have a Linkous Horne Tract and they have an O.H. Keen Tract.
- 3 Mary Quillen: Right. Yes.
- 4 Anita Duty: They wanted to apply only to the O.H. Keen Tract but that's not what the agreement
- 5 says. Intent is different than what's actually in writing.
- 6 Mary Quillen: And those are signed?
- 7 **Anita Duty**: Yes. By both parties.
- 8 **Ronnie Osborne**: We've got brothers and sisters that done the same thing. They got their
- 9 money. Me and my sister here talked to Levin White. We didn't get no checks and the rest of
- them did.
- 11 **Anita Duty**: But that's the reason. Whenever there's a pending litigation or there's any reason
- 12 for us...
- 13 **Ronnie Osborne**: There ain't no litigation.
- 14 Mark Swartz: You're saying it's not valid.
- 15 **Ronnie Osborne**: Levin White said...
- 16 Mark Swartz: You're coming to the Board and you're telling them it's not valid. How can we
- pay? That's the problem. We've been having this discussion for 20 years.
- 18 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I appreciate that you're frustrated, Mr. Swartz.
- 19 **Ronnie Osborne**: I won't be back. I won't be back. Thank you.
- 20 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Yes sir. Mr. Swartz, do you, off the top of your head, know the basis of her
- objection to the split agreement? Is it just that she doesn't like the term.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Her objection was that her signature on the document was forged. If I'm not
- 23 mistaken, she went to AG of the Commonwealth who investigated that and pursued that. So
- there was an allegation of forgery.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay.
- 26 Mark Swartz: The AG then, now this is Indiscernible 31:10
- 27 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I'm just trying to get an understanding of the basis for the objection. That's
- 28 really all I needed to know.
- 29 Mark Swartz: Well, that was the first part. Apparently they determined because there's more.

- 1 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: They both basically said that they're forged. They're saying that they're
- 2 forged on the split agreement. I'm just saying, that's what they're saying.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And that went to, the Commonwealth intervened and looked into that and
- 4 concluded that it did not appear to be a forgery.
- 5 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: Okay.
- 6 Mark Swartz: At which point, they are now saying it's still not valid.
- 7 **Paul Kugelman, Jr**.: Okay.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay, and I guess they're still saying it was a forgery.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Have they submitted W-9's?
- 10 **Anita Duty**: She was not party to...we have not asked them for W-9's.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Because...
- 12 Anita Duty: Because of the pending, and they were not party to the suit that their siblings were
- involved with. Their siblings had an attorney from Roanoke that came and represented them.
- 14 **Rick Cooper**: So their ownership here depends on their mother side or their father's side.
- 15 There's two different properties here that they talk about; O.H. Keen and Linkous Horne. Their
- belief is that they signed off on one half of that party, not all of it. That is their main standing
- 17 now. They are saying they signed off of that O.H. Keen but not the Linkous Horn portion. But
- the lease itself, is not.
- 19 **Mark Swartz**: The agreement is generic. It's everything.
- 20 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right. I mean, I get that. I don't understand the...
- 21 Anita Duty: I think you've probably even got copies of them before where I've sent them to
- you. So, and there's several reasons why we haven't petitioned to have them paid, but still, they
- want to know why.
- 24 Mary Quillen: Oh, yeah. I see now.

25 <u>Item Number 6</u>

- 26 **Butch Lambert:** We're moving on. We're calling Docket Item 6. A petition from CNX Gas
- 27 Company, LLC, for (1) Modification of the Middle Ridge I Coalbed Methane Gas Field Rule
- Order VGOB 00-1017-0835-12. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 29 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.

- 1 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, would you state your name for us, please?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Are you on behalf of the applicant CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard to this
- 5 petition?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And basically, what we're seeking to do here is add one unit to the area in the
- 8 Middle Ridge Field where the operator drilled more than one well. Correct?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And that unit that we're seeking to add is AW147?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And you've given the Board a map today?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And there's sort of, is this here with the yellow on the North and the other colors
- to the South. Is that, essentially, the division between the Oakwood Field to the North and the
- Middle Ridge Field to the South?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay. And the colored pieces of the Middle Ridge Field over here, the blue, the
- orange, the couple shades of orange, and the yellow, are those within the Middle Ridge Field that
- 20 have been modified to allow a second well to be drilled.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay. And we're seeking to add the well that's colored purple. Correct?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And for some reason or other, we missed that before and we're just back to fill in
- 25 that gap?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 27 **Mary Quillen:** Is that the AW147.

- 1 Mark Swartz: AW147.
- 2 Mark Quillen: Okay, okay. Gotcha.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And that in a nutshell is what we're seeking. The relief that we're seeking on this
- 4 particular petition?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And when you're doing these kinds of field rule petitions, we need to give a
- 7 coordinates description, not just a unit description and then, have you done that in Paragraph 7?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Okay. And did you notify people interested in the outcome by mailing here?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: When did you do that?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** We mailed by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested on October 14, 2016.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Okay, and some of the people that you mailed to, did not either claim their mail
- or did not get notice by mail. Correct?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 **Mark Swartz:** And did you also publish?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay, and how did you do that?
- 19 Anita Duty: We published the notice and location map in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on
- 20 October 20, 2016.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And have you filed your proofs, with regard to publication and with regard to
- 22 mailing, online with the Board?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 25 **Butch Lambert:** Ms. Duty, on your mailing list, you have Benson heirs and you have in red,
- DOC Pending on heirs. Could you tell us what that means?

- 1 Anita Duty: There's still an outstanding request on the heirs.
- 2 Mark Swartz: For documentation.
- 3 Anita Duty: For documentation. Not that we don't know who they are, we just need somebody
- 4 to fill out information so we can have it recorded.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** So they didn't get notice?
- 6 Anita Duty: They did. We just don't have a recordable document to put on record. We know
- 7 who they are, we just need one of them to fill out the forms so we can have it recorded.
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** I didn't check your proof over here, but do you have proof that they received
- 9 it? They received notice? And they're upside down, I can't see.
- 10 Anita Duty: The only heir of Lawrence Stinson is Lena Stinson. She did receive her mail. Is that
- who you were asking about? Lawrence Stinson's heirs.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: You see about four up from the bottom, there's a Lena Stinson.
- 14 **Butch Lambert:** Mine are upside down, I can't read them.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Okay, four down from the top, then [laughs]. Upside down.
- Anita Duty: She signed for her mail on October 18th.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** So does that need revised? That they were noticed?
- **Anita Duty:** At the time, we probably didn't know who they were but by the time we did the...I
- don't know why it says unknown heirs. I don't know why we did that. No, I know what this is.
- 20 She refused, okay, this is the one where Lena Stinson is actually, the property was in hers and
- Lawrence's name together, and so we know that she owns 50% of it. The other 50%, we cannot
- 22 get her to fill out an affidavit of heirship saying that she would get the other 50%. So Lawrence
- 23 Stinson heir is Lena Stinson, but the own the property as joint tenants. She refusing to fill out
- paperwork to prove her other 50%.
- 25 Mark Swartz: So transferring that into legal, she's telling you that we have one heir who signed
- 26 for the mail, who refuses to sign paperwork that we could record to document that she picked up
- 27 the other 50% in the heirship. So we've got the one person that we need to get.
- Anita Duty: So the property was joint tenants, they own it 50%, each. It was deeded to them
- 29 50/50. Correct? It was deeded to them 50/50, and so whenever he dies, she automatically has her
- 30 50% already. When he passes away, she would get his 50%, but she refuses to sign

- documentation to provide any kind of documents for us to go ahead and say she's the heir. So
- 2 that's why we say unknown. Even though we know who it is, she just won't give us anything to
- 3 put on record.
- 4 Mary Quillen: Is she the wife or the daughter of this man?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** The wife.
- 6 **Mary Quillen:** The wife. Okay.
- 7 Anita Duty: The property, we know she owns at least 50% of it.
- 8 **Bruce Prather:** Did she ever give you reasons why?
- 9 Mary Quillen: And this is 12/100 of an acre?
- 10 Mark Swartz: Annie. Do you want to come up here? Probably not. [Inaudible]. Is your
- information the same that Anita has provided?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** She actually told me. I remember.
- 13 **Mark Swartz:** Okay.
- 14 **Butch Lambert:** She received notice?
- Anita Duty: She received notice. At the end of the day, the only heir of Lawrence Stinson
- 16 received notice.
- 17 **Donnie Ratliff:** The only reason we're having this discussion is because of your personal notes.
- Anita Duty: Yeah, that's the way it was provided to us. That it's 50% Lena Stinson and 50%
- unknown heirs of Lena Stinson, even though we do know that Lena is the heir. She just won't
- 20 provide documentation. So maybe instead of saying "unknown heirs of Lawrence Stinson", just
- say Lena Stinson and documentation pending on 50%.
- 22 **Donnie Ratliff:** And there's four that's just listed return, with no date.
- 23 Anita Duty: She signed for it on 10/18/16.
- 24 **Donnie Ratliff:** And there's four others?
- 25 Mark Swartz: There's four others, right, and we published on those.

- 1 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: So, just to help me out here with this. I need to get better on my wills,
- 2 estates, but apparently, okay, so, has anybody gone to the courthouse to see what the will says or
- 3 whether. What are you all missing to be able to prove [Inaudible] to give her the money?
- 4 Mary Quillen: Anita, did he have a will, claiming her?
- 5 Mark Swartz: He had an affidavit of heirship.
- 6 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Okay, so, that's it. He had an affidavit of heirship. She declines to provide
- 7 that.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And we're pooling this today and the title is shown on the exhibits. So you'll see
- 9 exactly what we're talking about.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board, for the record? [No response] Anything further,
- 11 Mr. Swartz?
- 12 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Other than, let's not describe stuff that way anymore.
- 13 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Prather?
- 14 **Bruce Prather:** I forget what I was going to ask you now.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Prather had a question.
- 16 **Mark Swartz:** Okay.
- 17 Mary Quillen: If he died without a will, then this was settled in the courts, that these heirs.
- 18 Wouldn't those court documents identify her as the heir.
- 19 Mark Swartz: You don't have to prorate an estate. That's the problem. So, we need an affidavit
- of heirship, which says, he was my husband; he died; either, we own this as jointly, tenants in
- 21 common, or we owned it as tenants in common without a survivorship, but, I survived and she
- won't do an affidavit of heirship that we can record.
- 23 Mary Quillen: Okay. That's my mistake. I was not aware that Virginia does not have probate?
- 24 Mark Swartz: It's true pretty much. I've practiced law in a lot of states so for the four or five
- states that I practiced in, none of them require you to probate an estate. I mean, in theory you
- should, but people, there's tons of families that have real estate that's never really been handled
- in the courthouse on death. But it's a problem we have all the time.
- 28 Mary Quillen: Having just gone through this recently, at this all went through the court, I
- 29 assumed. That was my mistake by assuming.

- 1 Mark Swartz: There are probably more people that don't do that than do. And ultimately,
- eventually, you'd need to straighten this stuff out, but often, it's years later.
- 3 Mary Quillen: Yeah. Thank you. I learned something today. I did not know that.
- 4 Mark Swartz: That's part of the problem we have with heirships. You know, if there's no
- 5 courthouse record of what happened then grandpa dies.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** Just on another note, I noticed you have White Wolf Energy that was returned.
- 7 Mark Swartz: I've got that address right here.
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** We had a better address than that.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** We actually sent it to that address and we got the proof where they signed it
- 10 yesterday. Signed for it yesterday. And I can forward that on, we'll upload the affidavit.
- 11 **Butch Lambert:** Okay.
- Anita Duty: We emailed it to them but I think we were told that wasn't good proof, so we
- emailed and re-mailed, and they actually accepted both, so.
- 14 **Butch Lambert:** Did you give them, Mr. Cooper, did you...?
- 15 **Rick Cooper:** I did give that to Mr. Swartz.
- **Butch Lambert:** Okay, so that's the address we have on file at our office for those folks.
- 17 **Mark Swartz:** That's it, yes.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** They seem to pick up their mail at that address. Any other questions from the
- 19 Board? Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 20 Mark Swartz: No.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** This is not the entire Middle Ridge Field? This is just those...
- 22 Mark Swartz: It's just the one unit.
- 23 **Anita Duty:** One unit.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** That's effected by this docket number, right?
- 25 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> It's the purple...
- 26 **Donnie Ratliff:** 0835

- 1 Mark Swartz: 147 actually.
- 2 Mary Quillen: This one right here, Donnie.
- 3 Mark Swartz: It's the purple.
- 4 **Donnie Ratliff:** Okay.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 6 Mark Swartz: No.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 8 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve, Mr. Chair.
- 9 Mary Quillen: Second.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All in favor, signify by
- 11 saying yes.
- 12 **Board:** Yes.
- Butch Lambert: Opposed, no? [No response] Okay, Mr. Swartz, that is approved.
- 14 <u>Item Number 5</u>
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from DGO on behalf of others for Bill Patton and Linda Patton,
- appealing the decision of the Director issued on October 20, 2016, for Informal Fact Finding
- Hearing 245, regarding an application from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for proposed well T54
- with pipeline, located in the Maiden Spring Magisterial District. This is Docket Number VGOB
- 19 16-1115-4104. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 20 Mary Quillen: Could you give us just a couple of minutes? It's loading.
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** Oh, yes ma'am.
- 22 Sarah Gilmer: Do you swear and affirm your testimony is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
- but the truth?
- 24 **Bill/Linda Patton:** Yes.
- 25 **Butch Lambert:** Is it loading?
- 26 Mary Quillen: I can't, I mean, it's not loading.

- 1 **Rick Cooper:** It's 170 pages.
- 2 Mary Quillen: I know, I had it loaded and then had to go to the next item, and now it's just
- 3 continuing to try to reload. Okay. Okay.
- 4 **Butch Lambert:** You ready.
- 5 Mary Quillen: As soon as I get to that page. Okay. Got it. Sorry about that.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** That's alright. The Docket Item has been called so, Mr. Cooper, if you'll go
- 7 ahead and give us a kind of synopsis of your decision.
- 8 Rick Cooper: Yes. This application came in, and at CNX's request, they asked for some
- 9 additional time to work with the objecting parties. There were other objecting parties other than
- being Linda Patton and Bill Patton, and eventually, that time expired, so we had the hearing on
- 9/20/2016, and we had the hearing and the discussion was: (1) they objected to the operations
- plan for sediment and erosion control was not adequate and I could not determine in no
- testimony, determine that that was actually accurate. So I ruled against that; (2) Measures, in
- addition to the requirement for well's water protection string are necessary to protect fresh water
- bearing strata. No testimony in the application that was submitted, there's 352 feet of 7 inch
- casing cemented to the surface. There's 2,370 feet of 4 ½ inch cemented to the surface. And with
- that, I could see no reason to, I denied that objection; (3) And also, another objection that would
- constitute a hazard or safety to any people there is no evidence brought forward that it would
- create any hazard to any people, so I ruled against that; (4) And the location of a coalbed
- 20 methane well or pipeline will unreasonable infringe on the surface owners property, there is no
- evidence brought forward to back that objection up, so I ruled against that one; (5) and also,
- directly infringes upon a royalty owners oil and gas interest threatens to violate the objecting
- 23 royalty owners property or statutory rights and would not adequately prevent the escape of the
- Commonwealth's gas and oil. And with that, I ruled against those three. The Board has pooled
- 25 this well and also, I believe, that evidence was brought forth that Mr. Bill Patton and Ms. Linda
- Patton, had signed a lease agreement to allow this to occur on their property. And so with that, I
- 27 ruled against that and the decision was to move forward and approve the permit. Then, an
- objection came in. So, that's where we are today.
- 29 **Bruce Prather:** Rick, did the 7 inch cover their water aquafer?
- 30 **Rick Cooper:** Yes.
- 31 **Bruce Prather:** Okay.
- 32 **Butch Lambert:** Rick, do you have up the docket item on your computer?
- 33 **Rick Cooper:** I do.

- Butch Lambert: Can you go to, well, it's Page 156. It's a photograph. I think it's the next to the
- 2 last or third from the last page. It's a photograph, an aerial photograph, showing joint houses in a
- 3 wooded area to the West.
- 4 **Rick Cooper:** Yes.
- 5 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> The pictures good on our screen or on my screen. Can you tell us a little bit
- 6 about that picture?
- 7 **Rick Cooper:** The well would be over to the left in that area that shows no houses, just about in
- 8 the center of that photo. Do you see the road that bends around, like a 90 degree turn, then you
- 9 see that area on in the left corner; the well is almost in the center of that particular spot there.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** If you look at that coming in from the North toward the Southeast, looks like
- maybe a road coming in?
- 12 **Rick Cooper:** There is a pre-existing road there and also, in regard to that, there is a pre-existing
- road in the pipeline that is actually being added to this well is following a pre-existing to another
- well site.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** About the end of that, where that road is, is where the well's proposed?
- 16 **Rick Cooper:** It's real close to that spot, yes.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** And, can you tell us where the Patton's house is located in this picture?
- 18 **Rick Cooper:** I believe their house would be, I guess I'd ask Ms. Patton there, but I believe she
- is the one to the right there.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** To the right?
- 21 **Rick Cooper:** No, actually, scroll up to the top, there is a little road that turns off left-handed.
- 22 See the road that turns off?
- 23 **Butch Lambert:** Could you show the Patton's that?
- **Rick Cooper:** Yeah, it turns off left-handed to the house on the left.
- 25 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. and Ms. Patton, can you identify where your house is located?
- **Bruce Prather:** Rick, what's the difference between the well and their water well?
- 27 **Rick Cooper:** More than 400 feet. This is them, right here.
- 28 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, thank you.

- 1 **Bruce Prather:** And that well was drilled with detergent?
- 2 **Rick Cooper:** They'll have to speak on behalf of the well, to be very honest, Mr. Prather.
- 3 **Bruce Prather:** Okay.
- 4 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Prather, you have a question for the Patton's? Go ahead.
- 5 Mary Quillen: He was just asking how far the well was.
- 6 **Bruce Prather:** How far the well is from their water well and was it drilled with mud or drilled
- 7 with detergent?
- 8 **Rick Cooper:** Their water well? I'm not sure.
- 9 **Bruce Prather:** Not their water well, the...
- 10 **Rick Cooper:** The well has not been drilled yet. This is an active proposed well.
- 11 **Bruce Prather:** Oh, okay, okay.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board regarding the decision before I ask Mr. and Ms.
- Patton? [No response] Okay, Mr. Patton, are you going to speak for both of them?
- 14 **Bill Patton:** Can I show you some pictures here?
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Yes sir. We have that picture, actually, Mr. Patton.
- 16 **Bill Patton:** You have all these?
- 17 **Rick Cooper:** They do. I included those pictures in the package.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Object to all of these photos as not having been offered during the prior hearing
- and that Mr. Cooper did not have the benefit of these. They were not discussed. The Board rules
- and the code prevision and appeals says if you don't raise it in front of the hearing examiner and
- 21 you get a decision, you can't go back and offer additional evidence that he didn't have when he
- 22 made his decision. So I'm objecting.
- Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Can I go ahead and have a look at them, Mr. Patton? And I am subject to
- 24 your objection.
- 25 **<u>Bill Patton:</u>** And the picture they took of all the weeds said there was no houses to be shown,
- 26 when actually, if they put a well, these trees are going to be taken down. Even through the trees,
- you can see the homes.

- 1 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: If these were admitted, you would be offering to show that the view would
- 2 be changed?
- 3 **<u>Bill Patton:</u>** Definitely.
- 4 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I mean, is that why you are offering them up?
- 5 **Bill Patton:** All reasons.
- 6 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay, and what do you mean by all reasons? When you say all reasons.
- 7 I'm just asking you what the purpose, the Board is not accepting these in, but I want to have an
- 8 opportunity for you to put on the record why you're offering the photographs?
- 9 <u>Bill Patton:</u> Well, I'm showing you these because these counteract those others. Those others
- don't even show that.
- 11 <u>Paul Kugelman, Jr.</u>: Okay. Alright. But you had the opportunity to present these pictures
- 12 before.
- 13 **Bill Patton:** No, I didn't.
- 14 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Why not?
- 15 **Bill Patton:** I didn't have them.
- 16 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: But you could have taken them before.
- 17 **Bill Patton:** Look, I didn't know, Look, I've never been before a firing squad like this before.
- **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Sir, his is not a firing squad, it's a Board.
- 19 **Bill Patton:** I didn't know the procedures or anything like that.
- 20 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: I understand you don't know the procedures, but I just want to make sure I
- 21 understand factual background. Nobody's sharp shooting you. I'm just trying to understand.
- 22 **Bill Patton:** I'm just trying to make my points, too.
- Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Alright, but I appreciate what you're saying. So you had the opportunity to
- take these before you went to Mr. Cooper, but you didn't because you didn't know...
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay, that's what I needed to know. Thank you, sir.
- 26 **<u>Bill Patton:</u>** You don't need to see these?
- 27 **Butch Lambert:** No sir. We can't accept them.

- **Bill Patton:** None of that?
- 2 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> No sir. Mr. Patton, we'll hear your arguments at this time.
- **<u>Bill Patton:</u>** I'm sorry, what?
- **Butch Lambert:** We'll hear your testimony at this point.
- **<u>Bill Patton:</u>** Well, right here it is.
- **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: You're entire testimony was the pictures?
- **Linda Patton:** To show how the land has changed.
- 8 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Do you want to explain to the Board why you believe Mr. Cooper's
- 9 decision is wrong? Because that's really what this is about.
- **<u>Bill Patton:</u>** Really, we didn't want a well right in the front door. Not only our house but the
- farmers and I know the farmers have ties down here and all, so.
- 12 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: This is your opportunity to fully explain to this Board why you believe Mr.
- Cooper's decision is wrong. If that's, and I'm not saying you have to make it longer or say
- something you shouldn't say, but this is it. This is your opportunity for today. Is that the nature
- and extent of your argument, that's everything you have to say about it?
- **<u>Bill Patton:</u>** What else can I say? I mean, it's, the way everybody feels, it's done.
- **Mary Quillen:** Mr. Chairman, may I ask a question?
- **Butch Lambert:** Ms. Quillen.
- **Mary Quillen:** Did you sign a lease with CNX?
- **Bill Patton:** Back years ago. We didn't know what was going on or anything.
- **<u>Linda Patton:</u>** We thought it was that one time.
- **Bruce Prather:** You got the property with a prior lease on it?
- **Bill Patton?** Do what?
- **Bruce Prather:** Did you obtain the property that had a prior lease on it?
- **Rick Cooper:** For the record, Mr. Prather, the leases that they signed start at Page 106. Their
- leases are contained in this decision.

- 1 **Bruce Prather:** If they signed a lease, then the lease has dictated was CNX is going to do on the
- 2 property, to some extent.
- 3 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff.
- 4 **Donnie Ratliff:** The pictures we have, where did they come from?
- 5 **Rick Cooper:** CNX provided those during testimony.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** Ms. Quillen, I know you have it up, if you can look at Page 106.
- 7 Mary Quillen: Okay. This lease was signed in 2006. Ten years ago.
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** Yes, so if you look at the bottom of that page, right under the [Inaudible],
- 9 where there's some underlined language, if you could share that with Mr. Prather, I think that's
- 10 some important...
- 11 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** And on Page 107 too, there's some important language.
- 13 Mary Quillen: Together with any and all rights necessary or convenient to develop, produce,
- market, and sale, said oil and gas, including, but not limited to the exclusive rights of exploring,
- drilling, producing, gathering, transporting, storing, and selling the oil and gas. The rights to
- 16 construct and maintain all pipelines, tanks, structures, and utility lines that lease may deem
- 17 necessary and convenient for the production and/or transportation of oil or gas from this and
- other lands held by the lessees or any of its affiliates to inject under pressure air, gas, water,
- brine, and other fluids from any source into the subsurface strata and any and all rights and
- 20 privileges necessary.
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** Okay. Those were leases that we have before us that are signed by Mr. and
- Mrs. Patton and so, Mr. Patton, we can't undo your lease. We're sorry but there's nothing we can
- do with the lease that you've signed.
- **Bruce Prather:** Did you try to negotiate with CNX to see if this well could be moved someplace
- 25 else?
- 26 **<u>Bill Patton:</u>** We objected to where the site of the well was.
- 27 **Bruce Prather:** I know you objected. I'm saying, they've got the lease on your property, were I
- in your position, I would have gone to them and asked them if there is any leeway they had on
- 29 where the location of that well was to fit their drilling system. I mean, that's what I would have
- 30 done.
- 31 **Bill Patton:** The well's been moved once. I think it was moved two feet.

- 1 **Bruce Prather:** Okay.
- 2 Mary Quillen: And it looks like on this lease, Mr. Patton, you are the only person that signed it.
- 3 Ms. Patton did not sign it.
- 4 **Rick Cooper:** No, so Linda Patton starts on Page 122.
- 5 Mary Quillen: Oh, is it, okay. I just didn't get that far. Oh yes, you're right.
- 6 **Rick Cooper:** So this is brother and sister.
- 7 **Mary Quillen:** Oh, okay.
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Patton, is there anything additional that you or Ms. Patton want, any
- 9 information you want to provide to this Board?
- 10 **<u>Bill Patton:</u>** Not if it can't be heard, then no.
- 11 <u>Linda Patton:</u> Since you wouldn't look at the pictures, another concern of mine was the
- maintaining of the road, to the one that's already there.
- 13 Mary Quillen: Yeah, we do have your signature on this.
- 14 **Butch Lambert:** We have. We will make sure that Mr. Cooper and his inspectors see to it that
- that road is maintained properly for you.
- 16 **Rick Cooper:** Yes sir.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** So if there's any problems with the road in any condition that it gets in, I would
- ask that you call Mr. Cooper and we'll have an inspector out there to make sure that road is
- 19 maintained. Do I have a motion from the Board?
- 20 **Donnie Ratliff:** I make a motion that we affirm the director's decision.
- 21 Mary Quillen: Second.
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. All in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 23 **Board:** Yes.
- 24 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response] Thank you, folks.

<u>Item Number 7</u>

- 2 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for pooling of Oakwood Coalbed
- 3 Methane Gas Field I. Unit Y46. Docket Number VGOB 16-1115-409. All parties wishing to
- 4 testify, please come forward.

1

- 5 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Thank you. State your name for us again, Anita.
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Who do you work for?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** CNX Land, LLC.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of the applicant, CNX Gas Company, LLC today?
- 12 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And this is an application to pool a unit, correct?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 **Mark Swartz:** And it's in the Oakwood I Field?
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Is it an 80 acre unit?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Okay. What did you do to tell people we were going to have a hearing today?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** We mailed by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested on October 14, 2016.
- Published the Notice and Location Maps in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on October 20th.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Have you filed your proof of publication and your certifications, with regard to
- 23 notice online to the E-File system?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: The applicant, CNX Gas Company, would also be the operator if this applications
- 26 approved, correct?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** It would.

- 1 Mark Swartz: With regard to that, is it true that CNX Gas Company, LLC is a Virginia Limited
- 2 Liability company?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Who's authorized to do business in the Commonwealth?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Is it true that it's registered with the DGO, as an oil and gas operator?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And is it also true that it has a blanket bond on file, with regard to its plugging
- 9 and reclamation activities?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Or obligations, I should say.
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Okay. Have you identified in your paper, your notice, and your pooling
- application, the people that are respondents that you are seeking to force pool?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 **Mark Swartz:** And there are two of them?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** There is.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay, and do you want to dismiss either of these respondents?
- 19 Anita Duty: No.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Do you want to add anybody else as a respondent?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay. There is attached, a plat map, I believe?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: And if we look at that, it shows 80 acre Oakwood Unit and it shows a drilling
- window in that unit, correct?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And it shows Y46A within the drilling window and Y46 outside the drilling
- 2 window just east of the west boundary of the unit, correct?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Now are both of those wells proposed to be frack wells?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** They are.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Have either of them been drilled yet?
- 7 Anita Duty: No.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Have they been permitted yet?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Have you provided cost estimates with regard to those wells?
- 11 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Those are at 12 and 13 of the pdf and the cost estimates are, actually they're
- pretty similar locations apparently because the cost estimates, I think, are the same, correct?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Okay, and what is the cost estimate for each of those wells?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** \$335,237.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And that includes the frack?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** It does.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Is it your opinion that developing this particular 80 acre Oakwood Unit by
- drilling two frack wells, this is a reasonable way to develop the Coalbed Methane resource
- 21 within the unit?
- 22 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Have you provided, I don't see an Exhibit E, so it's looks like there is no
- requirement for escrow in this unit?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 26 Mark Swartz: So if it's pooled, people would be paid either according to the pooling order or
- 27 according to their individual agreements with the operator?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Okay, and in that regard, what has been the least terms that you've been offering
- 3 to people that you have actually succeeded in leasing?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** \$5.00 per acre per year, with a five-year, paid-up term and a 1/8 royalty.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And would you recommend those terms to the Board for people who might be
- 6 deemed to have been leased?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And if we combine a pooling order with the agreements that you've obtained, is
- 9 it your opinion that the correlative rights of the owners of the Coalbed Methane royalties would
- 10 be protected?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Split agreements that you're aware of?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** There is.
- 14 Mark Swartz: There is? Okay.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Tracts 1 and 3A.
- 16 Mark Swartz: 1 and 3A. So you'll be able to pay under those?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Which is another reason we don't need escrow?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Would that be Tract 1A and 3A?
- 21 Anita Duty: 1 and 3A.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And the acres that you have that are unleased in the unit and the percentage of the
- unit, are what?
- Anita Duty: We have leased 87.7875% of the unit, seeking to pool 12.2125% of the unit.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Which I think is 9.77 acres, if I'm not mistaken. It's in one of your exhibits.
- 26 Anita Duty: Yeah, it's B3.
- 27 **Mark Swartz:** Alright. I think that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

- 1 **Butch Lambert:** Ms. Duty, we're looking at the plat and there's not a 1, there's a 1A, B, C, D,
- 2 and E, F.
- 3 Anita Duty: Well, that is, the A, B, C, D, those are just individual surface owners.
- 4 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: When you say one, you mean all of those?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes. If you look on the tract ID.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** One the tract ID, it says one but the plat says, all the others. So if you're saying
- one includes A, B, C, D, E, and F. Thank you. Any others questions from the Board? [No
- 8 response] Anything further Mr. Swartz?
- 9 Mark Swartz: No.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 11 Mary Quillen: Motion to approve.
- 12 **Donnie Ratliff:** Second.
- 13 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 15 **Board:** Yes.
- Butch Lambert: Opposed, No? [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 17 Item Number 8
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for pooling of Middle Ridge
- 19 Coalbed Methane Gas Field I. Unit AY145. Docket Number VGOB 16-1115-4100. All parties
- wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Anita, would you state your name again for us, please?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to incorporate Anita's prior testimony with regard to the
- applicant and operator for employment and standard lease terms, if I might, from the prior
- 27 hearing.

- 1 **Butch Lambert:** For the record, council has advised the Chairman to recuse himself because,
- 2 since this one only includes the Commonwealth of Virginia so I'm going to let Mr. Ratliff.
- 3 **Donnie Ratliff:** Okay, that'll be included, Mr. Swartz?
- 4 Mark Swartz: Anita, this is a pooling application. Correct?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: To pool a Middle Ridge Unit, correct?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And that unit is AY145?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And we have one respondent that we need to pool, which is the very difficult and
- troublesome Commonwealth of Virginia, correct?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Did you notify the Commonwealth that we were going to have a hearing today?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Did you do that by mail?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested on October 14th.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And did you also publish?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Published in the Bluefield Telegraph on October 19th.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Okay, and what is the interest, the percentage, or the acreage that the
- 20 Commonwealth has in this unit?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** We're seeking to pool 0.1362% of the unit; 0.08 acres.
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Okay, and how many wells are you proposing?
- 23 Anita Duty: One.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Okay, and you've given us a plat, correct?
- 25 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Which shows the 58.74 acre unit and shows the one well, AY145 within the
- 2 drilling window?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Is that a frack well?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Have you provided a cost estimate?
- 7 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Estimated at this point is what?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** \$332,283.00
- 10 Mark Swartz: Okay, we have an Exhibit E here, so we've got an escrow requirement?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Title conflict for Tract 2F.
- Mark Swartz: And the Commonwealth is part of that conflict, correct?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Is it your testimony that drilling this frack well in this Middle Ridge Unit, is a
- reasonable development plan?
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And is it your testimony that if we combine the acquisition, the leases, and the
- acquisition efforts of the operator and applicant with a pooling order pooling the
- 19 Commonwealth, the correlative rights of all claimants will be protected?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** So the conflict is between the Commonwealth and Buchanan Mining, right? Is
- 23 that right?
- Anita Duty: Buchanan Mining is a coal owner and CNX Gas is a gas owner. Either
- 25 Commonwealth owns it all or Buchanan Mining Company owns the coal...
- **Donnie Ratliff:** That is [Inaudible]. Thank you.
- 27 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I mean, so Buchanan Coal only owns the coal interest?

- 1 Anita Duty: As a coal owner.
- 2 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay, I thought...
- 3 Mary Quillen: Would that not be covered under the House Bill?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Not a title conflict.
- 5 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I'm just confused as to what the title conflict is.
- 6 Anita Duty: The conveyance is to the road and one part of, same thing we kind of had last
- 7 month, where, in one section it's shown as a conveyance and the other it's shown as a right of
- 8 way. There's conflicting language in the deeds to the Commonwealth.
- 9 Mark Swartz: So it's did the fee actually transfer, is the problem.
- 10 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay. Thank you, appreciate it.
- 11 Anita Duty: We can bring you an example next month so you can see why this is...
- 12 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: No you won't.
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Okay.
- 14 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Because we're not going to be here next month.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Oh, that's right. We'll tell you later.
- Anita Duty: Oh, we're not going to be here?
- 17 Mary Quillen: Merry Christmas.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** We don't know yet. We'll tell you later.
- 19 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I'm sorry. Counsel violated...
- 20 Mark Swartz: You all are so excited about not getting together. [Laughs]
- 21 Mary Quillen: That kind of hurts our feelings. You all are just a little too happy.
- 22 Anita Duty: No cookies. No brownies.
- 23 **Donnie Ratliff:** Any other questions? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 24 Mark Swartz: No.
- 25 **<u>Donnie Ratliff:</u>** Board has not questions. Call for a motion.
- 26 **Mary Quillen:** Motion to approve.

- 1 **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- 2 <u>Donnie Ratliff:</u> Motion has been properly seconded. All those in favor, say yes.
- 3 **Board:** Yes.
- 4 **Donnie Ratliff:** No?
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** One abstention.
- 6 **Donnie Ratliff:** That was is approved, Mr. Chairman.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Ratliff.
- 8 <u>Item Number 9</u>
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for pooling of Middle Ridge
- 10 Coalbed Methane Gas Field I. Unit AW147. Docket Number VGOB 16-1115-4101. All parties
- wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 13 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 14 Mark Swartz: I'd like to incorporate Anita's testimony from the prior, two prior hearings, with
- regard to the applicant and operator, with regard to employment and with regard to standard
- lease terms, if I could.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** Accepted.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Thank you. I would like to point out the Board a mistake in the papers, you
- know, occasionally we don't get everything 100% right. The caption on the application says it's
- an Oakwood Unit. It's actually a Middle Ridge Unit. This is by the plat and the documents inside
- 21 the application, so just bring that to your attention. Anita, state your name for us again.
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 23 Mark Swartz: I will remind you that you're still under oath.
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And are you here today on behalf of the applicant and the operator, correct?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Okay, and this is a pooling application again for a Middle Ridge Unit?

- 1 Anita Duty: It is.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Have you listed the respondents in Paragraph 7 of your application?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Since filing this, have you done any leasing that requires any changes here?
- 5 Anita Duty: WE have leased Andy and Charlene Brown.
- 6 Mark Swartz: So are you proposing that we dismiss them as respondents?
- 7 Anita Duty: Yes, we filed corrections to E-Forms. I don't know of anybody has the revised
- 8 exhibit B2 and B3.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Okay, so if they don't have it, it's been filed in E-Forms and it's showing the
- dismissal of Andy Lee and Charlene Brown, et al, correct?
- 11 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Do you want to dismiss anybody else?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** No.
- Mark Swartz: Do you want to add anybody else as a respondent?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 16 Mark Swartz: So with that one change, regarding those two people, we're good to go with
- regard to the lineup of the respondents that need to be pooled?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** We are.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Okay, what did you do to notify people that we would be having a hearing today,
- with regard to pooling this particular unit?
- 21 Anita Duty: We mailed by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested on October 14, 2016.
- Published the notice and location map in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on October 19, 2016.
- 23 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Looks like you provided your certification of notice to E-Forms, correct?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** And it shows a couple of the items of mail returned?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: But because you published, we've touched that base, correct?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Did you also file with the Board, your proof of publication?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Okay. Back now, let's looks at the plat. The unit is a 58.74 acre unit, right?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And you show, in this Middle Ridge Unit, you show two wells, basically, on the
- 8 North and South portions of the eastern boundary of the unit. Correct?
- 9 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And to do that, you would have had to obtain a location exception, to make that
- 11 happen, correct?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Did you do that?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** That was done in the committee [Inaudible].
- 15 Mark Swartz: I understand, but did you do that?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Okay, and so you got a location exception for AW147A then?
- Anita Duty: Yes. It should be loaded. Anytime we have a location exception like that, we load
- 19 those into supporting documents.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Right, and I believe it's in the supporting documents here, but I wanted to make
- 21 note of it.
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Oh, okay.
- 23 Mark Swartz: And I'll see if I can find that page. Okay, if we go to Pages 34-35, there's
- 24 actually supporting documentation, with regard to the location exception request, correct?
- 25 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Okay. Now going back to the plat. The AW147, and that will be surveyed to
- 2 make sure that it is actually within the boundaries of the unit, correct?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 **Mark Swartz:** The location?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: You've given us your Exhibit B, which is all the people with interest in the unit.
- We have an Exhibit B3, which is the people that you're pooling, minus the people we're
- 8 dismissing?
- 9 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 10 Mark Swartz: We've got two well cost estimates, with regard to the two proposed wells. What
- are the amounts of those estimates?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** \$337,015.00.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And Exhibit E, which requires escrow?
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And we're escrowing how many acres and what percent?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** 0.06 acres; 0.1021 percent.
- 17 **Mark Swartz:** Okay, and why is escrow required there?
- Anita Duty: This is for that interest that we talked about previously, on the infill application, so
- we need the [Inaudible].
- 20 Mark Swartz: The documentation?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: This is the person that won't give us the affidavit of heirship?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 24 Mary Quillen: Just one question on this; the percentage that we actually are looking at, is
- 25 18.1648, and that was before the lease. Has that been updated with the Office of Gas and Oil?
- Anita Duty: Yes, we've loaded the revised exhibits, and now we're just seeking to pool
- 27 4.0007%.

- 1 Mary Quillen: Thank you.
- 2 Mark Swartz: That math didn't work anyway, that you're looking at.
- 3 Mary Quillen: Oh, it didn't.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Look at the acreage and the percentage, it didn't work, but it's been corrected.
- 5 Mary Quillen: It has been corrected? Okay. Thank you.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Is it your testimony, Anita, that drilling two wells in the locations depicted on the
- 7 plat, is a reasonable method or reasonable way to develop the coalbed methane resource within
- 8 this unit?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Is it your further opinion, that by pooling the folks identified as respondents here
- and combining the pooling order with the lease agreements and acquisitions, that your applicant
- has made, that the correlative rights of all folks will be protected?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And that this affidavit of heirship issue is resolved, there will be no escrow
- requirement, but at least temporarily there is?
- 16 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And do we have an Exhibit EE? Doesn't look like it.
- 18 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Okay. That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 21 **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman?
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff.
- 23 **Donnie Ratliff:** On Exhibit E, on Tract 1C, now there's heir under Lawrence Stinson.
- 24 Anita Duty: Mine says heirs unknown. Lawrence Stinson, heirs [Inaudible] successors are
- assigned.
- 26 **Donnie Ratliff:** Wiley Blankenship under Tract 1C and Marie Blankenship heirs.

- Anita Duty: That's how they originally comes down. It's Lawrence Stinson heirs, et al. There's
- Wiley Blankenship, then it goes, actually Wiley shouldn't be in there because Wiley's an A. See
- 3 how the numbering? And Marie Blankenship; Lawrence Stinson is an heir of Marie Blankenship.
- 4 **Donnie Ratliff:** Oh.
- 5 Anita Duty: And that's the only place that there's an interest, it's out by Lawrence Stinson heirs.
- 6 That's just showing the way that it comes down the chain. It's Lawrence Stinson heirs, et al.
- 7 There's other owners in that tract.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Go back, what makes a little more sense, go back to B3, which I think is Page 15
- 9 on your pdf. See under Tract 1C there, Donnie? Here we've got Marie Blankenship heirs,
- 10 Indiscernible 1:30:33 and then we've got Lawrence Stinson, you know, his heirs, documentation
- pending, and then we also have under B, Lena, because we know she owns half. So that shows it
- a little better. And we're not escrowing her piece because...
- 13 **Anita Duty:** The B probably shows it better than anything.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Well, the B3 shows it too. So you see, we've accounted for half of that interest
- and we've got to pay her, and the other half, until we get that heirship issue squared away, it's
- going to be in escrow. And if that's still clear as mud, ask another question, but I think
- that's...okay.
- **Butch Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 19 **Mark Swartz:** No.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 21 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve.
- 22 Mary Quillen: Second.
- 23 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- in favor signify by saying yes.
- 25 **Board:** Yes.
- **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 27 Let's take about a 10 minute recess.
- 28 <u>Item Number 10</u>
- 29 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, ladies and gentlemen, we'll begin our proceedings again this morning,
- and we're calling Docket Item Number 10. A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1)

- the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent,
- 2 attributable to a portion of Tracts 1, 5, 6, 7 & 12, as depicted upon the annexed table; (2)
- authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition; and (3)
- 4 dismissal of coal owners. This is Docket Number VGOB 97-0218-0564-05. All parties wishing
- 5 to testify, please come forward.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, state your name for us.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 10 Mark Swartz: I'll remind you that you're still under oath.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: This particular petition is requesting a disbursement of escrowed funds, correct?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Pertaining to Unit U28?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And did you mail, with regard to this?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay, and when did that happen?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** October 13th.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Have you provided your certificates, with regard to mailing, like filing them
- 21 online?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay. It looks like that's Page 21?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Looks like you've got everybody according to your certification?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** We actually revised it after we filed it.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Okay, and have you revised the certification of notice since this was originally
- 2 filed and have you uploaded that?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And what changes did you make to what the Board is currently looking at?
- 5 Anita Duty: We updated the E and EE and the table, to remove David Smith, Donald Smith,
- 6 and Peggy Smith because the mail was still showing in transit.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Okay.
- 8 **Anita Duty:** So we went ahead and removed them.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And are all of those exhibits uploaded to at least the E-Forms?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Okay. And let's go back to the beginning here; and the reasons for the relief that
- we're seeking or the disbursements are a couple of reasons. First, we have a court case, correct?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** We do.
- Mark Swartz: And then in addition, we have a disbursement that people are entitled to because
- of the House Bill?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And in that regard, have you provided any notices to coal owners?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 19 **Mark Swartz:** Have you prepared a Table 1?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And on Table 1, has that been revised as well?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay. Let me look at the law we currently have. Okay, it looks like the folks that
- 24 you've discussed have, in fact, been removed from the Table 1 that the Board has, which would
- be on Pages 6 and 7, correct?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Okay, so is your request then, that the escrow agent be ordered to make
- 2 disbursements in accordance with Table 1?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And in that regard, have you given, in Table 1, the name and address of every
- 5 person or company that is to receive a disbursement?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And then in the second column form the right-hand side of that table, have you
- 8 given a percentage for each person or company?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And should the escrow agent be instructed to use that percentage for each person
- or company, multiply it times the balance on hand when the checks are to be cut, and that will
- generate the dollar amount of the check to be made?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Okay, and have you provided a reconciliation?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Okay, and the last page of that reconciliation is at Page 3 of the documents that
- the Board has and have you done, first of all, did you try to accumulate a list of all royalty
- deposits that were made?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And then did you go looking for a deposit to confirm that they had all been
- 21 deposited?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: And was that a fact, they had all made it into the account?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And did you do your own calculation, including prior disbursements and deposits
- for purposed of comparing that to the August 2016, First Bank and Trust balance?
- 27 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Now, when you made the comparison, it looks like you were coming up with
- 2 \$3.52 more than First Bank and Trust?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And were these filed before we were going to straighten this out and be
- 5 consistent?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Okay. So that's still to come? That'll be there next time?
- 8 Anita Duty: We have been doing that because I've made sure...
- 9 Mark Swartz: So the next time we appear here, whether it's at Christmas or New Year's?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** That's right.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Okay. Alright. And then you included a copy, I think of the court decision,
- 12 correct?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: And it looks like we have Mr. Cook again, right?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And who is, let's see, who is...?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Should be Kendris Harman and Henry Harman.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay, are to get, I guess the checks are to be mailed to Mr. Cook for those two
- 19 people?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Okay. That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 22 **Rick Cooper:** Mr. Chairman? I would like to point, is there not two more people that checks go
- to Mr. Cook on that? Like Dickey Looney and Kathy Looney?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 **Rick Cooper:** Thank you.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Yes, so we get that straight, let's, there are four people. What are their names?

- 1 Anita Duty: Kathy Looney, what's the husband's name?
- 2 **Rick Cooper:** Dickey.
- 3 Mark Swartz: In addition to the...
- 4 Anita Duty: Kendris Harman and Henry Harmon.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Those are the four people that the checks should be made payable to those folks
- 6 but made payable to Shae Cook, right?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Right.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And then the last thing that I think we need here is, you got a certification of
- 9 notice to coal owners?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: The notice actually found its way to all of them?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** It did.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And is this the list of coal owners that should be dismissed, with regard to this
- 14 particular unit?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And you provided the sample letter that went out to them?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Those additions [Inaudible].
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** I have a question. On your reconciliation table, maybe you Ms. Duty or maybe
- 20 Mr. Cooper, there's a note on there that says "error per DGO. Refund of \$11,421.55". Can you
- 21 provide us a little bit of information about why that's such a large error on DGO's part? I mean,
- looking through the table, I don't see anything in the table that would reflect that.
- 23 Anita Duty: If you look on September 30, 2011, it shows a deposit of \$11,421.55.
- 24 **Butch Lambert:** 2011? Yes, I see that.
- 25 **Anita Duty:** I think that's where, that's what we're talking about. That was not...
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** So it was an error that was deposited on...

- 1 Anita Duty: A posting error.
- 2 **Butch Lambert:** Can you help us out, Mr. Cooper?
- 3 **Rick Cooper:** I think it was just a posting error, so I was trying to see if I'd done a letter on that.
- 4 I just don't remember.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** So, once that error was found and the account was reconciled, you're up to date
- 6 on \$3.52?
- 7 Mark Swartz: Correct. And if that wasn't reconciled, then the account would be showing
- 8 \$11,000 more, so it would be \$11,000 plus the \$3.50.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** We can follow up after.
- 10 Mark Swartz: But in terms of the royalties that we paid that should have gone into this unit,
- we're within \$3.52 once you make that change.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** So I guess, Mr. Cooper, if that was a posting error, where did the \$11,000 go?
- 13 **Rick Cooper:** At this time, I do not know the answer to that, but I can do some research and get
- back with the Board at the next meeting, but at this current second, I do not know the answer to
- 15 that.
- 16 **Butch Lambert:** Okay.
- 17 **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman?
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff.
- Donnie Ratliff: It shows a deposit but it doesn't show it being taken out, so most likely it was
- 20 deposited into the wrong account and someone caught it and moved it.
- 21 **Rick Cooper:** It very well could have been and I don't know for sure, but we have seen that in
- 22 the past happen.
- Anita Duty: We can work together to come up with this after, if you want to make it pending
- 24 resolution of the error.
- 25 **Butch Lambert:** I guess if it's in error and it's been reconciled, my question that I would have
- 26 from the Board is where di the \$11,000, what happened to the...?
- 27 Anita Duty: That's what I was, I don't see it coming, I see some distributions, but I'm not sure
- that distribution is related to that incorrect posting. So I think that's what we've got to figure out.

- 1 Rick Cooper: Yes.
- 2 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> Okay, we'll wait. Mr. Cooper, you can just report back at the next Board
- 3 hearing.
- 4 Mary Quillen: Just posted to the wrong account.
- 5 Mark Swartz: See, the disbursement, I mean probably one of these...
- 6 **Mary Quillen:** That's highly likely with that large amount.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Probably want one of these disbursements may show that as a correcting
- 8 payment. We don't know at the moment. So it may have come out in one of those, but we don't
- 9 know. We need to get the answer to that.
- 10 **Donnie Ratliff:** I don't think it's necessary to hold up.
- 11 **Butch Lambert:** No, I wouldn't want to hold up.
- 12 Mark Swartz: I think we should get an answer for you.
- 13 **Donnie Ratliff:** Just general information.
- 14 **Rick Cooper:** There has been a disbursement since then, you see. This is the second one after
- 15 that.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Well, there's no...
- 17 **Donnie Ratliff:** \$9,000 and something.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** Yeah, \$9,929.00 after that error, so.
- 19 **Rick Cooper:** What we will do is check the transcript and see if that subject came up last time
- 20 also.
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** Did you need to add something, Ms. Quillen?
- 22 Mary Quillen: No, I was just thinking out loud that ordinary something like that, if it's supposed
- to be posted to another account, it should have shown up in the other account because the other
- account would have been short \$11,000, so probably, cross-referencing those, maybe.
- 25 **Rick Cooper:** We'll have to follow up on it.
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** Okay.
- 27 **Rick Cooper:** I don't really have a good answer right now.

- 1 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 2 Mark Swartz: No, except if you look at the two disbursements that came afterwards, they're
- almost \$11,000, but we don't know for sure. But if you look at the, one of them was \$2,021.84
- and one of them was the \$9,929.84 and if you add that up.
- 5 Mary Quillen: Yeah, there's very little difference in that.
- 6 Mark Swartz: So that may be. We'll figure that out.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** Okay. That's fine and then we can get a report back next Board meeting. Any
- 8 other questions from the Board? [No response] Do I have a motion?
- 9 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve, Mr. Chairman.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a second?
- 11 **Bruce Prather:** I'll second.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 14 **Board:** Yes.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response]
- 16 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Thank you.
- 18 <u>Item Number 11</u>
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- 20 escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 2C,
- 21 2D, 2E & 2F, as depicted upon the annexed table; (2) authorization to begin paying royalties
- directly to the parties listed in the petition; and (3) Dismissal of coal owner. This is Docket
- Number VGOB 98-0324-0636-03. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 25 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, state your name for us again.
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Still under oath?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: You're here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC with regard to this petition?
- 4 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: We're asking for a disbursement from X35's escrow account?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And the reasons for the request are, it looks like, House Bill 358, correct?
- 8 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And we've given some notices to coal owners as well?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Did you mail to everyone?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And you provided your certifications, with regard to mailing?
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: It looks like the certification of notice to the people, regarding the disbursements,
- is at Page 13 of the pdf, and everybody signed for their mail?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And then if we go to the pool, there is at Page 24, there is a Certification of
- 19 Notice went out to Hurt McGuire?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And they signed for that mail?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** They did.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay. You prepared a Table 1 giving directions to the escrow agent in terms of
- 24 how to make the disbursements?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And this is a percentage disbursement?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Okay, and for each tract and for each person or company that supposed to receive
- 4 a disbursement, have you included them on Table 1?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And for each person or company, have you given a name and an address, with
- 7 regard to how the checks are to be made out?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And for each person or company, in the second column from the right-hand side,
- 10 have you given a percentage the escrow agent is to use to multiply times the balance on hand at
- the time the checks are cut, and that operation will then cause the right check amount to occur?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Okay. Looks like we're going to still need some escrow, there'll still be an
- 14 escrow requirement after these payments?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** There will.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And indicated why those are required on your Exhibit E?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And that's a revised Exhibit E, correct?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And you've given reasons, generally in red?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay, and then I'm thinking we're going to have an Exhibit EE?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And that would be how you would like to pay, going forward?
- 25 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: So in some respects, you're going to be paying people directly who are receiving
- 2 checks now.
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Or checks out of escrow?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And to the extent that Hurt McGuire has split agreements and is listed in Exhibit
- 7 EE, they are going to receive money, going forward, correct?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And to the extent that they do not have split agreements, they are going to be
- 10 dismissed?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Did you do a reconciliation on this account?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And, it looks like Page 22 is the beginning of the summary, right?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And the pdf, I take that back, Page 21 pdf. First step, did you assemble all of the,
- as list of the royalty payment checks that you issued?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 **Mark Swartz:** And then did you look for deposits?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And when you did that, how did that go?
- 22 Anita Duty: There were several instances throughout this account where the deposits did not
- 23 match.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Okay, and have you summarized those at Page 22?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Well, the net would be there.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Okay. And are those corrected in Exhibit J?

- 1 Anita Duty: Just the one deposit is corrected.
- 2 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Okay, so there's more that need to be corrected?
- Anita Duty: Well, they're so old, historically, I don't know if there's anything we can do about
- 4 it. There's just different...
- 5 Mark Swartz: Okay, basically we're looking at 2001, early 2002?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Okay, and if we go to that page, so you've got a number of escrow...
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Several.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Okay, and when you do your math, in terms of total, let's go to that portion.
- When you go to Page 21, you deposited royalty checks, well, royalty checks were deposited of
- 11 \$77,282.44. Is that a number you would agree with?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: There was a disbursement in March and then you just got the interest and fees
- 14 number from the bank records that you had access to?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 16 **Mark Swartz:** And you came up with a total?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And when you compared that total, in spite of the other issues that you had, to the
- 19 April 2016 First Bank and Trust balance, you were within \$572.97?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And is it your testimony that because of the age and the record keeping of the
- prior escrow agents, you are unable to resolve that difference?
- 23 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And this is something that you have visited with Sarah and Rick about?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Actually, with Jody.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Okay.

- Anita Duty: The escrow agent. We were trying to work and do some of them but there's no
- 2 records.
- 3 Mark Swartz: There's no way to do it. Okay. So, the relief we're requesting are payments out of
- 4 escrow to the people listed in Table 1; a dismissal of Hurt McGuire [Inaudible]doesn't have split
- 5 agreements and they're saying that the Unit to be sent, they do; and the ability to directly pay the
- 6 people receiving the disbursements. Correct?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** Questions from the Board? Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 10 Mark Swartz: No.
- 11 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 12 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval [Inaudible], Mr. Chair.
- 13 **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll second that.
- 14 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 16 **Board:** Yes.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response]
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 19 <u>Item Number 12</u>
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tract 4, as
- depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the
- parties listed in the petition. This is Docket Number VGOB 02-0521-1028-02. All parties
- 24 wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, state your name for us.
- 28 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And you're still under oath?
- 2 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And you are here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard to this
- 4 request for disbursement from the escrow account, pertaining to Unit AZ115, correct?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Okay, and the reason for this disbursement request is we have a court case?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: If this disbursement request is granted, there will be no further escrow
- 9 requirements, correct?
- 10 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Okay, we've got a Table 1. The only person or company receiving the
- disbursement is the winner of the litigation?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And they're to receive 100% of the amount on hand at the time the check is cut?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And you've got a revised Exhibit E, which shows that escrow is no longer
- 17 required?
- 18 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 19 Mark Swartz: I assume you told, by mail, the coal company this was coming?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: I gather their lawyer is Mr. Cook because you mailed to him?
- 22 Anita Duty: Yes, it is. Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Did you do a reconciliation, Exhibit J?
- 24 Anita Duty: We did.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And we're you able to find a deposit for every royalty check you issued?

- 1 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Okay, so the difference here is not accounted for by a missing deposit?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Okay, and when you did your math, estimating the balance, you came up with
- 5 \$460.55 more than the August 2016 First Bank and Trust balance, correct?
- 6 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 7 Mark Swartz: You've attached a copy of the final order and this is a case where the check is to
- 8 be sent, looks like made out to the coal company but sent to Mr. Cook?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- Butch Lambert: Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 12 Mark Swartz: No.
- 13 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 14 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve, Mr. Chairman.
- 15 **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- 16 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? All in favor,
- signify by saying yes.
- 18 **Board:** Yes.
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Thank you.

21 <u>Item Number 13</u>

- 22 **Butch Lambert:** CNX Gas Company, LLC, will provide the Board an update on the extension
- request that was submitted, Docket Number 16-0621.
- 24 Mark Swartz: We asked for, I think, two extensions when we were last here, and those were
- both filed within a week of leaving here. So those are taken care of. But in terms of giving you
- sort of an update, I'm not sure we have to do an update, but it'll just take a moment. I had Anita

- 1 go back and look at September and October. We had intended to do November, but she was off,
- but for September though, we had 15 hearings and this would have been through the DGO, right?
- 3 Anita Duty: Right. These are just, this is the status of how we filed them. So, we filed 15 in
- 4 September, that were prior to the hearing, just so you understand how.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And then there we 16 filed with the DGO, right?
- 6 Anita Duty: That required no hearing. Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: That required no hearing, so that was 31 cases, disbursement requests that were
- 8 filed in September and the breakout, we've got dollar amounts breaking it out, but the total dollar
- 9 amounts coming out of escrow is the result of those 31 in September was \$807,569.65. So a little
- 10 over three quarters of a million dollars.
- 11 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Are these the ones you previously requested a continuance for?
- 12 Mark Swartz: No, no. These are just an update because remember we were in this status where
- we haven't really requested for an extension on these other units because we said we're done but
- we wanted to report back to the Board and I think you would wanted us to do that in terms of, are
- we continuing to exercise due diligence to move forward with disbursements. So in December, it
- was a great, or I'm sorry, September. October, we filed for one hearing and 20 cases that did not
- 17 require a hearing, so there were 21 petitions filed and the total for that would have been
- \$65,146.53 [Inaudible] as a result of those petitions. Anita, how many did you file in November?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** So far, in November, we filed 15 petitions.
- 20 Mark Swartz: But we don't know the dollar amounts, but just to give you a sense of, you know,
- 21 we're continuing to process papers, you know, to count, as the papers come in.
- 22 Mark Swartz: \$65,146.93 and that breaks out of the ones that required a hearing in October.
- There was \$11,759.69 and then the amount you guys would have been able to process was
- \$53,387.44, which gives them the total.
- 25 **Anita Duty:** And these are just estimated dollars, based on our Exhibit J at the time that we filed
- 26 the petition. So as far as you tying those numbers to what you all processed, those are what we
- 27 filed. Now, depending on what docket they end up being on or what time they are in the
- processes, I don't know if you can tie those things together, but we've got units and dollars...
- 29 **Bruce Prather:** What percentage is that of your total. What's the percentage of what you've
- done so far, of the total of items that were on that escrow account?
- 31 **Mark Swartz:** Rick, where do we start, where are we, I don't know.
- Rick Cooper: Yeah, so what we hope to do here, we're working off the October summary. The
- next hearing, we'll report better numbers out; unknowns, unlocatables, and percentages. We

- 1 hope to get like an annual report of the status of all the escrow accounts that's left. So if you can
- 2 hold off until January, we'll try to give you a pretty good explanation of what the escrow account
- looks like. And I do know, I don't know the exact number, but we've closed more than 400
- 4 accounts. And a lot of the remaining ones are unknown/unlocatables but we will break that down
- 5 and give a better explanation at the next hearing.
- 6 **Mary Quillen:** That's amazing.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Well, but there are a ton of people left, you know, and money, but yeah, we've
- 8 made a heck of a lot of progress.
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** While we've got CNX here, can you give us an update on unfunded accounts?
- 10 **Rick Cooper:** I can. So, we've been working real closely, and I give Ms. Gilmer and Ms. Ketron
- a lot of credit in working with the companies. We think we're down to two and we may have
- those resolved so there is a CC30 that the check is in, somewhere between Pittsburgh and the
- bank and once that arrives in the bank, Jody will notify us and CC30 will disappear. And we do
- 14 know the check, we've got a copy of the check it just has not arrived at the bank yet, so, and the
- other one is 01. We think working with CNX, once they submit a supplement, that that one will
- be taken off of the unfunded also, and we just need a supplement to clarify the state of the order
- and once we do that, that category will be removed and we hope that never surfaces again.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** So do we.
- 19 Mark Swartz: The check was Fed Ex'd from Pittsburgh yesterday for delivery today so and I've
- 20 given them the Air Way Bill and a copy of the check. It was \$1,900.00 and some change, so we
- 21 know that's happening. They'll have it today. And the other one didn't require escrow.
- 22 Anita Duty: We just have to update the supplemental to say that.
- 23 **Butch Lambert:** Okay. Alright. Thank you.
- **Rick Cooper:** It's been a great effort. Ms. Ketron has really worked hard and so has Anita. I
- want to put Anita in that also.
- 26 **Anita Duty:** I feel like I forced that, that I forced that out.
- 27 **Butch Lambert:** I believe you did.
- 28 **Rick Cooper:** I don't know how to explain that in the transcript, that look, but all the companies
- 29 know we've had a lot of discoveries when we were doing this. This is how we found the
- 30 Magnum Hunter problem and things like that, so, again, everyone had a little responsibility in
- 31 that unfunded account, but we had should have never had accounts sit in there 10, 12, 15 years.
- 32 So it has been a project all by itself, but we can say, I think we can say that we have closed that
- category. That may be the first project that we've ever completed at DGO in the supplement.

- 1 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> Thank you, Rick. Good work. Appreciate it.
- 2 **Rick Cooper:** Sally and Sarah.
- 3 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Anita. Good work.
- 4 Mark Swartz: It's bad when you have to beg.
- 5 **Anita Duty:** I know. It's not the same really.
- 6 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: You were turned about 135 degrees away from me; I could feel the heat
- 7 over hear.
- 8 Mark Swartz: After all we've done for him, too.
- 9 Rick Cooper: I gave her credit. I was working up to that but she put the stun gun on me before I
- 10 got the opportunity to say anything.
- 11 Anita Duty: That's what my son tell me. He says mom, you didn't even give me a chance.
- Mark Swartz: I gather we're doing something different in December, is that true?
- Butch Lambert: Yes, we will be cancelling our December hearing. That we won't, we've only
- 14 got three or four already submitted.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** That means no treats.
- 16 **Mary Quillen:** We're going to do it in January. I knew that...
- 17 **Anita Duty:** That was my only disappointment.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** We still have to have Ms. Quillen's treats.
- 19 **Mary Quillen:** I'll bring those in January.

20 Item Number 14

- 21 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, so the next item is the Board will receive an update of Board and
- 22 division activity from the staff. Mr. Cooper.
- 23 **Rick Cooper:** So, since Ms. Duty is here, I want to thank you right up front that she had assured
- us that we would get a second deposit for W34 by the end of October and we did, so in October,
- 25 the first check was for \$23.90 some odd cents. That's a total deposit in that account was
- \$3,447.00, so that was an issue that had been brought up previously, so we have that issue
- 27 resolved. One issue that we have not got resolved is the escrow uploads. We are working with
- 28 EQT, but I think during her testimony, she said she would have that completed by the November

- 1 hearing. She still does not have that job completed. There's four outstanding ones. She is
- working on it, but her transcript, she does not have that completed as we speak today.
- 3 **Butch Lambert:** And in light of that, I'm going to recommend, of course with the Board's
- 4 recommendation, but I'm going to ask that if EQT does not complete that by the next Board
- 5 hearing, which will be January, the Board should take action. We've been two years trying to get
- 6 EQT to upload those. So, Mr. Cooper, you can forward a copy of the transcript to EQT letting
- 7 them know that they have until January.
- 8 **Rick Cooper:** I will do that and I would think that should be plenty of time to comply with.
- 9 **Bruce Prather:** Is there a penalty involved with that?
- 10 **Rick Cooper:** There'll be a penalty assessed by you all, I think is what Butch has said.
- 11 Mary Quillen: Yes, yes.
- 12 **Bruce Prather:** I'd like to put the penalty in your letter. That makes it more...
- 13 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: We'll work with council on that and we can tell them that it can be a
- maximum, I think it's \$10,000 per day, per violation. Which adds up quick.
- 15 **Rick Cooper:** I understand, and I will strongly encourage them to make sure they have this
- 16 project completed prior to that.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** In addition to the transcripts, if you would draft a letter and make sure Mr.
- 18 Kugelman reviews the letter, to send along with the transcript.
- 19 **Rick Cooper:** We will do that.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you. Is there anything else?
- 21 **Rick Cooper:** There's actually some good news also. So, what we had disbursed since the House
- Bill kicked in July 1st last year, \$9,979,136.00, so we think if we get what we have today and
- 23 what we have in process, that we will break 10 million dollars by the end of the year. That was
- 24 the goal that we sort of set internally. We don't control that but we see the moneys are available
- 25 to break 10 million dollars by the end of the year. And in addition to that, if you count in the
- one's that several of the companies started in January of last year, we've disbursed a little over
- 27 11 million dollars. So, we are making some progress on that and I just want to report that out,
- that is some good news.
- 29 **Bruce Prather**: What's the total countdown to Rick?
- 30 **Rick Cooper**: Left?

- 1 **Bruce Prather**: Yeah, what's left.
- 2 **Rick Cooper**: So that's what we're going to try to break down. There's still about 20 million
- 3 dollars in the account.
- 4 **Bruce Prather**: That's what I was thinking.
- 5 **Rick Cooper:** So we'll have to break down the unknown/unlocatables and that type of thing. We
- 6 hope we can provide that report in January so we can see just about where we stand on that.
- 7 Mary Quillen: Well, and I believe that Mr. Kugelman advised us about the court case with the
- 8 Yellow Poplar on the docket in February and that one is several million dollars.
- 9 **Rick Cooper**: Four million plus.
- 10 Mary Quillen: Four million plus, so that would be...
- 11 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: It would have been about 16, 15-16 million.
- 12 **Butch Lambert**: And then you include the unknown/unlocatables, we think it's somewhere
- around five.
- 14 **Rick Cooper**: We think it's somewhere around five or eight million dollars in
- unknown/unlocatables. So, we'll try to break that down the best that we can and work with all
- the operators to get a pretty close number. It's never exact but get a pretty close number to work
- with. The eight million includes Yellow Poplar. It does include Yellow Poplar.
- 18 Mark Swartz: So we've got about half gone. I mean, it's roughly half.
- 19 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: About [Inaudible] of what we anticipated. Right?
- 20 Mark Swartz: No, I think it's, I'm not sure.
- 21 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: It was rough, you might be right, but it was just rounded up to make
- conversation easier, but so yeah, half, a little better. I'd take it.
- 23 **Butch Lambert**: Anything further, Mr. Cooper? Any more good news?
- 24 **Rick Cooper**: No.
- 25 <u>Item Number 15</u>
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, minutes from the October meeting. Any additions, subtractions,
- deletions that we need to make? If not, I'll entertain a motion to approve the minutes.
- 28 **Donnie Ratliff:** Second.

- 1 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> Okay. All in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 2 **Board:** Yes.
- 3 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed no? Thank you folks, the minutes are approved and since we're not
- 4 meeting next month, I'd like to wish everyone a Merry Christmas and we'll see everyone back in
- 5 January. So we're adjourned.